



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,757	03/31/2004	Philip Derbeko	SRAD 540	5259
61650	7590	04/30/2008	EXAMINER	
MYERS WOLIN, LLC			PATEL, KAUSHIKKUMAR M	
100 HEADQUARTERS PLAZA				
North Tower, 6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07960-6834			2188	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[patent@myerswolin.com](mailto:patent@myerswolin.com)

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,757	DERBEKO, PHILIP	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KAUSHIKKUMAR PATEL	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KAUSHIKKUMAR PATEL. (3) Hyung Sough.  
 (2) Brian S. Myers (Reg. No. 46,947). (4) Micheal Benjamin.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lam et al. (US PGPUB 2005/0172092A1), Armangau (US 6,434,681 B1), Cabrera et al. (US 6,708,227) and Kumar et al. (US 2003/0131182 A1)...

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant generally discussed the nature of newly added claims (claim 51) and why they are patentable over prior art of record. The examiner agreed to consider applicant's remarks with respect to patentability of new claims, when examiner is ready to examine the new claims, however no agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hyung S. Sough/  
 SPE, Art Unit 2188

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required